LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Filed May 15, 1958

No. 10, Original

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1958

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIFF

v.

STATES OF LOUISIANA, TEXAS, MISSISSIPPI, ALABAMA AND FLORIDA

BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON AMENDED COMPLAINT

J. LEE RANKIN,
Solicitor General,

OSCAR H. DAVIS,
JOHN F. DAVIS,
Assistants to the Solicitor General,

GEORGE S. SWARTH,
Attorney,
Department of Justice,
Washington 25, D. C.

INDEX

	Page
Jurisdiction	1
Statutes involved	1
Questions presented	2
Statement	3
Summary of argument	11
Argument	26
I. Under the Submerged Lands Act the defendant States are limited to the national boundary which at all relevant times was three miles from the coast	27
A. The United States is entitled to all rights in the submerged lands and minerals of the continental shelf except those that it has granted to the coastal States since December 11, 1950	27
B. The Submerged Lands Act granted to the coastal States the submerged lands and resources within their boundaries only, but did not determine the location of those boundaries	31
1. The Submerged Lands Act extended only to submerged lands within State boundaries	31
(a). The terms of the Submerged Lands Act	31
(b). The legislative history of the Submerged Lands Act	36
2. The Submerged Lands Act did not grant rights measured by any boundary which existed only prior to statehood	47
3. The Submerged Lands Act neither changed nor declared the location of State boundaries	51
C. At all times relevant to the Submerged Lands Act, the boundary of the United States has been three miles from the coast, and has fixed the maximum limit of State boundaries	59

Argument—Continued

Page

1. The United States has disclaimed sovereignty over a marginal belt more than three miles wide..... 59
   (a). Both the Executive Branch and Congress have adopted the three-mile marginal belt 59
   (b). The Court has recognized this action by the political branches of the Government 102
   (c). The Submerged Lands Act adopted no change in this policy 103
2. The Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act asserts federal rights of an extraterritorial character and does not grant any relevant rights to the States 107
3. The location of the nation's maritime boundary is a political question in the field of foreign policy, as to which the historic determination by the political branches of the Government must be deemed conclusive..... 127
   (a). It is a basic principle that the courts will accept executive determinations in the field of foreign affairs 127
   (b). A statement by the appropriate Executive Department is the best source of information on a political question, and cannot be controverted 132
   (c). These principles are controlling even in cases to which the United States is a party..... 138

Argument—Continued

Page

(d). Territorial jurisdiction and sovereignty are political questions, as to which executive determinations and statements bind the courts 140

(i) Foreign territories 140

(ii) Territory of the United States.. 142

4. Although the present controversy is wholly domestic, national foreign policy must control its decision... 147

II. The answer of the State of Louisiana to the amended complaint raises no genuine issue as to any material fact, and is insufficient in law..... 152

A. Louisiana has never had a boundary extending more than three geographic miles into the Gulf of Mexico..... 153

1. Neither France nor Spain claimed or acquired territorial jurisdiction seaward of the shore of Louisiana 154

2. The Territory of Orleans did not have a boundary more than three miles from the coast..... 171

3. The Act admitting Louisiana to the Union did not describe any marginal belt for the State, and certainly did not establish one more than three miles wide..... 172

B. This Court has not heretofore decided the location of Louisiana's gulfward boundary 178

C. Louisiana's equal footing with other States does not entitle it to submerged lands and resources more than three miles from the coast 180

D. Louisiana's claim to mineral rights for a distance of three leagues from the coast, regardless of its boundary location, is not maintainable 186